

*A User Comment Draft Amendment of AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA*

# NTCIP 1405 v01.03

## Amendment 1<sub>b</sub>

---

### Transit Communications Interface Profiles

Part of the NTCIP

### Standard on Spatial Representation (SP) Objects

---

**October 2002**

This is a draft document, which is distributed for review and comment purposes only. You may reproduce and distribute this document within your organization, but only for the purposes of and only to the extent necessary to facilitate review and comment to the **NTCIP Coordinator**. Please ensure that all copies reproduced or distributed bear this legend. This document contains preliminary information that is subject to change.

*Published by*

**American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO)**  
444 North Capitol St., N.W., Suite 249  
Washington, D.C. 20001

**Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE)**  
1099 14<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 300 West  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3438

**National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA)**  
1300 North 17th Street, Suite 1847  
Rosslyn, Virginia 22209-3801

© Copyright 2002 AASHTO / ITE / NEMA. All rights reserved.

© 2002 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), and the National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA). All intellectual property rights, including, but not limited to, the rights of reproduction in whole or in part in any form, translation into other languages and display are reserved by the copyright owners under the laws of the United States of America, the Universal Copyright Convention, the Berne Convention, and the International and Pan American Copyright Conventions. Except for the electronic Data Dictionary, do not copy without written permission of either AASHTO, ITE, or NEMA.

## FOREWORD

This document uses only metric units.

This document is an NTCIP Information Data Dictionary Standard. Information Data Dictionary Standards formally express management information in terms of objects (data elements, data frames, and messages) for use within TCIP and NTCIP systems.

The TCIP family of standards addresses Advanced Public Transportation Systems (APTS) data interfaces and related automated transit tools and data. The standards address the business requirements of these APTS data interfaces. In some cases, specialized terms were needed to define general classes of information. For example, different business areas needed to define data elements related to time, date and footnotes. Special, constrained data types were developed so that the transit domain data concepts were consistent across business areas, while specific needs were met. These data types are defined within the TCIP family of standards and in this document.

For more information about NTCIP standards, visit the NTCIP Web Site at <http://www.ntcip.org>. For a hardcopy summary of NTCIP information, contact the NTCIP Coordinator at the address below.

In preparation of this NTCIP document, input of users and other interested parties was sought and evaluated. Inquires, comments, and proposed or recommended revisions should be submitted to:

NTCIP Coordinator  
National Electrical Manufacturers Association  
1300 North 17th Street, Suite 1847  
Rosslyn, Virginia 22209-3801  
fax: (703) 841-3331  
e-mail: [ntcip@nema.org](mailto:ntcip@nema.org)

### Approvals

This document will be separately balloted and approved by AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA after recommendation by the Joint Committee on the NTCIP. Each organization is expected to approve this NTCIP Information Data Dictionary Standard as the following standard type, as of the date:

AASHTO – Standard Specification; Month YYYY  
ITE – Software Standard; Month YYYY  
NEMA – Standard; Month YYYY

### History

From 1997 to 1999, this document was referenced as ITE ST-ITS-TCIP-SP and/or NEMA TS 3.TCIP-SP. However, to provide an organized numbering scheme for the NTCIP, this document is now referenced as NTCIP 1405. The technical specification of NTCIP 1405 is identical to the former reference, except as noted in the development history:

TCIP draft specifications, version 0.1, September 1997. Distributed for public review.

TCIP-SP version 1.0, February 20, 1998. Accepted as a Recommended Standard. Incremented to version 1.1, July 31, 1998, for compilation revisions made in former section numbers 2.1, 2.3, 5.1, 5.2, and Annex C. Distributed for ballot via NTCIP Standards Bulletin B0023 in September 1998.

NTCIP 1405 version 97.01.01, July 31, 1998. Approved by AASHTO in July 1999, approved by ITE in October 1999, and approved by NEMA in February 2000.

NTCIP 1405 v01.02, December 1, 2000. Reformatted for printing; incremented version number and updated date; added and revised front matter; updated references to NTCIP and NEMA document numbers in References Clauses; updated references to ITE document numbers; revised section numbering; inserted introduction text in Section on Requirements; deleted Annex A Comment Form; and inserted introduction text in Annex on the ASN.1 Script.

Draft NTCIP 1405 v01.03 Amendment 1, September 2002. Updated data dictionary to conform to IEEE 1489:1999 and IEEE 1488:2000. Updated references, corrected typographic errors, revised definitions, message bodies, and added new data elements and messages.

If you are not willing to abide by the following copyright statement, return these materials immediately.

Joint AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA  
NTCIP Management Information Base, Data Dictionary, and ASN.1 Script  
**DISTRIBUTION NOTICE**

To the extent and in the limited event these materials are distributed by AASHTO/ITE/NEMA in the form of a Data Dictionary and ASN.1 Script ("DD"), AASHTO / ITE / NEMA extends the following permissions:

- (i) you may make and/or distribute unlimited copies (including derivative works) of a Data Dictionary (DD), including copies for commercial distribution, provided that (a) each copy you make and/or distribute contains this Notice;
- (ii) use of the DD is restricted in that the syntax field may be modified only to reflect a more restrictive subrange or enumerated values;
- (iii) the description field may be modified but only to the extent that: (a) only those bit values or enumerated values that are supported are listed; and (b) the more restrictive subrange is expressed.

These materials are delivered "AS IS" without any warranties as to their use or performance.

AASHTO / ITE / NEMA AND THEIR SUPPLIERS DO NOT WARRANT THE PERFORMANCE OR RESULTS YOU MAY OBTAIN BY USING THESE MATERIALS. AASHTO/ITE/NEMA AND THEIR SUPPLIERS MAKE NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO NONINFRINGEMENT OF THIRD PARTY RIGHTS, MERCHANTABILITY, OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE. IN NO EVENT WILL AASHTO, ITE, OR NEMA OR THEIR SUPPLIERS BE LIABLE TO YOU OR ANY THIRD PARTY FOR ANY CLAIM OR FOR ANY CONSEQUENTIAL, INCIDENTAL, OR SPECIAL DAMAGES, INCLUDING ANY LOST PROFITS OR LOST SAVINGS, ARISING FROM YOUR REPRODUCTION OR USE OF THESE MATERIALS, EVEN IF AN AASHTO, ITE, OR NEMA REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. Some states or jurisdictions do not allow the exclusion or limitation of incidental, consequential, or special damages, or the exclusion of implied warranties, so the above limitations may not apply to you.

Use of these materials does not constitute an endorsement or affiliation by or between AASHTO, ITE, or NEMA and you, your company, or your products and services.

**Disclaimer**

The information in this publication was considered technically sound by the consensus of persons engaged in the development and approval of the document at the time it was developed. Consensus does not necessarily mean that there is unanimous agreement among every person participating in the development of this document.

AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA standards and guideline publications, of which the document contained herein is one, are developed through a voluntary consensus standards development process. This process brings together volunteers and/or seeks out the views of persons who have an interest in the topic covered by this publication. While AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA administer the process and establish rules to promote fairness in the development of consensus, they do not write the document and they do not independently test, evaluate, or verify the accuracy or completeness of any information or the soundness of any judgments contained in their standards and guideline publications.

AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA disclaim liability for any personal injury, property, or other damages of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential, or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, application, or reliance on this document. AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA disclaim and make no guaranty or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein, and disclaims and makes no warranty that the information in this document will fulfill any of your particular purposes or needs. AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA do not undertake to guarantee the performance of any individual manufacturer or seller's products or services by virtue of this standard or guide.

In publishing and making this document available, AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA are not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity, nor are AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA undertaking to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to someone else. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances. Information and other standards on the topic covered by this publication may be available from other sources, which the user may wish to consult for additional views or information not covered by this publication.

AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA have no power, nor do they undertake to police or enforce compliance with the contents of this document. AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA do not certify, test, or inspect products, designs, or installations for safety or health purposes. Any certification or other statement of compliance with any health or safety-related information in this document shall not be attributable to AASHTO, ITE, or NEMA and is solely the responsibility of the certifier or maker of the statement.

NTCIP is a trademark of AASHTO / ITE / NEMA.



## **Section 1 GENERAL**

### **1.2.1 Normative References**

-- *Updated the publications information for Normative References*

draft NTCIP 1400:2002 Amendment 1, *Transit Communications Interface Profile Framework*, version 1.05 Amendment 1.

draft NTCIP 1401:2002 Amendment 1, *Transit Communications Interface Profile, Standard on Common Public Transportation Objects*, version 1.03 Amendment 1, September, 2002.

ISO/IEC 8824:1998, *Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1)*

USPS Postal Addressing Standards, Publication 28, November 2000

### **1.2.2 Other References**

-- *Updated the publications information for Informative References*

IEEE Std 1489-1999, *IEEE Standard for Data Dictionaries for Intelligent Transportation Systems*. 27 October 1999.

IEEE Std 1488-2000, *IEEE Trial-Use Standard for Message Set Template for Intelligent Transportation Systems*. 13 July 2000.



**Section 2**  
**TERMINOLOGY**

-- *No changes*



### Section 3 CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS

-- *Modified section title to Concept of Operations*

#### **3.4 NAMING CONVENTIONS**

-- *Correct typographical errors in 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph.*

“Also, for messages that can be composed of either a sequence of points or lines, the name is appended with the respective designation “P\_” or “L\_”. For example, a transit pattern represented by a series of nodes is denoted as SpP\_Noderoute. Likewise, a transit pattern represented by a series of links is denoted as SpL\_Linkroute.”



## Section 4 REQUIREMENTS

-- updated the following requirements in Sections 4.1 and 4.2

### 4.1 SPATIAL REPRESENTATION DATA DICTIONARY

#### SP\_LinkID\_id

(1) *The Representative class term (in descriptive name and field) was modified to identifier (id).*

<b>Descriptive Name</b>	SP_LinkID_id
<b>Representation class term</b>	identifier

#### SP\_MilePostID\_id

(1) *The Representative class term (in descriptive name and field) was modified to identifier (id).*

<b>Descriptive Name</b>	SP_MilePostID_id
<b>Representation class term</b>	identifier

#### SP\_NodeID\_id

(1) *The Representative class term (in descriptive name and field) was modified to identifier (id).*

<b>Descriptive Name</b>	SP_NodeID_id
<b>Representation class term</b>	identifier

#### SP\_PostalCode\_cd

(1) *The definition was modified.*

<b>Definition</b>	The six character postal code as defined by the legal jurisdiction of the location.
-------------------	---

#### SP\_RoadPrefix\_cd/USPS Pub 28

(1) *This data element is retired and replaced by SP\_RoadSuffix\_cd / USPS Pub 28*

#### SP\_RoadSuffix\_cd/USPS Pub 28

(1) *The reference to the USGS Publication 28 was updated to reflect the most recent version.*

<b>Definition</b>	The suffix to the road name. For example, in the address 56 Old Main West Street, "west" is the suffix. The road suffix follows the abbreviations of the U.S. Postal Service. Details of each road type can be found in the Postal Addressing Standards, Publication 28, November 2000.
-------------------	---

## SP\_RoadType\_cd/USPS Pub 28

(1) This data element is retired and replaced by SP\_RoadSuffix\_cd / USPS Pub 28

## 4.2 MESSAGE OBJECTS

### 4.2.1 Point Class Representation Objects

#### SpPointclass

(1) Add additional point message (see SpGeoDynamicpoint\_message)

<b>Message body</b>	SpPointclass ::= CHOICE { address SpAddresspoint, geoPoint SpGeopoint, geoLabelPt SpGeoLpoint, geoOffset SpGeoOffsetpoint, intersection SpIntpoint, intOffset SpIntOffsetpoint, landmark SpLandmarkpoint, milepost SpMilepostpoint, node SpNodepoint, nodeOffset SpNodeOffsetpoint, nodePercentOffset SpNodePercentOffsetpoint, statePlanePt SpSPpoint, roadLabel SpRoadLabelpoint, geoDynamic SpGeoDynamicpoint }
---------------------	--

#### SpAddresspoint\_message

(1) The Message Body of SpAddresspoint was redefined based on the new version of the USPS Publication 28 Addressing Standard [<http://pe.usps.gov/cpim/ftp/pubs/Pub28/pbu28.pdf>]. Provision for an "exception string" as defined in the USPS standard was also included in the SpAddresspoint definition.

<b>Constraints</b>	The exception string should be use only as specified by USPS Publication 28 [November 2000].
--------------------	--

<b>Message body</b>	SpAddresspoint ::= SEQUENCE { pre-directional SP-CompassDirection OPTIONAL, number SP-RoadNumber, prefix SP-RoadPrefix OPTIONAL, name SP-RoadName, type SP-RoadType, suffix SP-RoadSuffix OPTIONAL, post-directional SP-CompassDirection OPTIONAL, exception-string UTF8String (SIZE (1..30)) OPTIONAL, -- use as specified by USPS Publication 28 city SP-CityName OPTIONAL, community SP-CommunityName OPTIONAL, county SP-County OPTIONAL, province SP-Province OPTIONAL, state SP-State OPTIONAL, postalCode SP-PostalCode, country SP-Country OPTIONAL } {WITH COMPONENTS {..., number PRESENT, name PRESENT, suffix PRESENT}   WITH COMPONENTS {..., exception-string PRESENT} }
---------------------	---

#### SpLandmarkpoint\_message

(1) A typo was corrected in the Message body.

<b>Message body</b>	SpLandmarkpoint ::=SEQUENCE { name SP-LandmarkName,
---------------------	--

```

level    SP-Level OPTIONAL,
description SP-LandmarkDesc OPTIONAL,
address  SpAddresspoint OPTIONAL,
geoPoint SpGeopoint OPTIONAL
}

```

### SpGeoDynamicpoint\_message

-- added new point message to deal with dynamic (moving) "points"

<b>Message identifier</b>	spp 10
<b>Metadata source</b>	Direct
<b>Descriptive name</b>	SpGeoDyanmicpoint
<b>Descriptive name context</b>	Manage Transit
<b>Definition</b>	A dynamic point expressed by the magnitude of the path of a moving object.
<b>Source</b>	
<b>Class name</b>	SP
<b>Classification scheme name</b>	TCIP
<b>Classification scheme version</b>	NTCIP 1400
<b>Data concept type</b>	Message
<b>Keyword</b>	
<b>Related data concept</b>	
<b>Relationship type</b>	
<b>Remarks</b>	
<b>Symbolic name</b>	
<b>Symbolic name usage</b>	
<b>ASN1 Name</b>	
<b>Constraints</b>	
<b>Message body</b>	<pre> SpGeoDynamicpoint ::= SEQUENCE {     latitude . SP-Latitude,     longitude .SP-Longitude,     direction SP-AngularDirection, -- direction of travel [deg]     speed     OB-J1587-VelocityVectorSpeed OPTIONAL,     altitude  ..SP-Altitude OPTIONAL,     datum .   SP-Datum OPTIONAL } </pre>

## 4.2.2 Line Class Representation Objects

### SpGeoLine\_message

(1) Message body: *OPTIONAL* was removed from label SP-GeoLabel. The label distinguishes this message from SpGeoline.

<b>Message body</b>	<pre> SpGeoLine ::= SEQUENCE{     label SP-GeoLabel,     geopoints SEQUENCE OF SpGeopoint } </pre>
---------------------	--

### SpIntOffsetline\_message

(1) A typo was corrected in the message body field "intersectionPoints".

<b>Message body</b>	<pre> SpIntOffsetline ::=SEQUENCE{     intersectionPoints SEQUENCE OF SpIntOffsetpoint,     label SP-GeoLabel OPTIONAL } </pre>
---------------------	---

### 4.2.3 Polygon Class Representation Objects

-- standardized the naming convention to be consistent with the other spatial feature types:  
spPolygonclass OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {spl 3}

#### SpPolygonclass\_message

(1) *geoline-Poly SpL-Geopolygon was included in the CHOICE field.*

<b>Message body</b>	SpPolygonclass ::=SEQUENCE { label SP-GeoLabel OPTIONAL, polygon CHOICE { centroid SpCentroidpolygon, geoPoint-Poly SpP-Geopolygon, intersection-Poly SpP-Intpolygon, node-Poly SpP-Nodepolygon, stPlanePt-Poly SpP-SPpolygon, addressRange-Poly SpL-AddressRangepolygon, link-Poly SpL-Linkpolygon, geoline-Poly SpL-Geopolygon } }
---------------------	---

#### SpL\_Geopolygon\_message

(1) *A typo was corrected in the Descriptive and ASN.1 names.*

<b>Descriptive name</b>	SpL_Geopolygon_message
<b>ASN1 Name</b>	SpL-Geopolygon

### 4.2.4 Route Class Representation Objects

-- standardized the naming convention to be consistent with the other spatial feature types:  
spRouteClass OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {spl 4}

#### SpRouteClass\_message

(1) *In the message body, the field name was changed from roadName to routeName.*  
(2) *Added field name to choice field so consistent with ASN.1:1998.*

<b>Message body</b>	SpRouteClass ::= SEQUENCE { routeName SP-RoadName, route CHOICE{ address-rt SpP-Addressroute, geoPt-rt SpP-Georoute, intersection-rt SpP-Introute, intOffset-rt SpP-IntOffsetroute, milepost-rt SpP-Milepostroute, node-rt SpP-Noderoute, stPlanePt-rt SpP-SProute, geoLine-rt SpL-GeoLroute, link-rt SpL-Linkroute } }
---------------------	---

**Section 5**  
**CONFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS**

**5.2 LEVEL TWO CONFORMANCE**

*-- import SAE J1708 object OB-J1587-VelocityVectorSpeed from NTCIP 1406 Annex A.*

<b>Object Name</b>	<b>Reference</b>
OB-J1587-VelocityVectorSpeed	NTCIP 1406 Annex A



**Annex A**  
**DATA ELEMENT/MESSAGE USE CROSS REFERENCE TABLE**

**(Informative)**

TBD



**Annex B**  
**ASN.1 Script**

**(Informative)**

*-- removed from document*